| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/660,088 | FRUSHOUR, MATTHEW |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Michael J. Kyle | 3677 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | n: |
| (1) <u>Michael J. Kyle</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Gary Price</u> . | . (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 21 September 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican | oplicant's representative) | |
| · | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | |
| Claims discussed: n/a | | • |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G | ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT | WAS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum | The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance of | written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | |
| | • | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli | icant/Applicant's Representati | ve Signature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's representative to update the status of the application. Applicant's representative noted a response had been filed February 6, 2006 that was not addressed. It was determined that the response of February 6, 2006 was cross mailed with the Office Action responding to the RCE. Examiner noted a supplemental response would be furnished..